

Oops, Logic Missing! Answer Key

1. Which sentence *sounds* the most confident, but doesn't fully explain *why* or *how* it's true?

Sample Answer: The sentence, "Because players must think fast, video games clearly make people smarter," sounds the most confident but skips over the explanation. It uses the word "clearly," which makes it sound sure of itself, but it doesn't show any real proof that fast thinking in a game makes someone smarter in real life.

Why this is a strong answer: It identifies a specific sentence. It explains why it *sounds* confident (word choice). It recognizes that the statement *jumps to a conclusion* without proof.

**2. What do you think the AI was *trying* to argue in that sentence?
(In other words, what point was it hoping you'd believe?)**

Sample Answer: The AI was trying to make readers believe that playing video games helps people become more intelligent. It wanted to connect the skills used in gaming, like fast reactions and quick decisions, to overall intelligence or brain growth.

Why this is a strong answer: It restates the AI's intended claim in clear terms. It shows understanding of the argument rather than just criticizing it.

3. What piece of information or reasoning is missing that would make that idea believable?

Sample Answer: The AI needs to include actual evidence that gaming skills transfer to real-life intelligence. For example, it could mention a scientific study showing that people who play certain strategy or problem-solving games score higher on reasoning tests, or it could explain *how* reaction speed in games relates to thinking ability outside of gaming. Without that connection, it's just an assumption.

Why this is a strong answer: It specifies what type of reasoning or evidence is missing. It uses examples (studies, data, explanation of how). It shows understanding that logical connections need *supporting proof*, not just confidence.